Harmonic sums and polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices

Gérard H. E. Duchamp[♢] - V. Hoang Ngoc Minh[♡] - Ngo Quoc Hoan[♦]

[♢] *Paris XIII University, 93430 Villetaneuse, France, gheduchamp@gmail.com*
[♡] *Lille II University, 59024 Lille, France, hoang@univ-lille2.fr*
[♦] *Paris XIII University, 93430 Villetaneuse, France, quochoan_ngo@yahoo.com.vn*

arXiv:1611.09683v1 [math.CO] 28 Nov 2016

Abstract

Extending Eulerian polynomials and Faulhaber's formula[1], we study several combinatorial aspects of harmonic sums and polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices as well as their structure. Our techniques are based on the combinatorics of non-commutative generating series in the shuffle Hopf algebras giving a global process to renormalize the divergent polyzetas at non-positive multi-indices.

Keywords: Harmonic sums; Polylogarithms; Bernoulli polynomials; Multi-Eulerian polynomials; Bernoulli numbers, Eulerian numbers.

1. Introduction

The story begins with the celebrated Euler sum [16]

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n\geq 1} n^{-s}, \qquad s \in \mathbb{N}, s > 1.$$

Euler gave an explicit formula expressing the following ratio (with $\mathrm{i}^2 = -1$) :

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_+, \quad \frac{\zeta(2j)}{(2\mathrm{i}\pi)^{2j}} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{b_{2j}}{(2j)!} \quad \in \mathbb{Q}, \tag{1}$$

where $\{b_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ are the Bernoulli numbers. Multiplying two such sums, he obtained

$$\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2) = \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1),$$

where the *polyzeta* are given by

$$\zeta(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} n_1^{-s_1} \ldots n_r^{-s_r}, \qquad r, s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}_+, s_1 > 1.$$

[1]First seen and computed up to order 17 by Faulhaber. The modern form and proof are credited to Bernoulli [36].

Establishing relations among polyzetas, $\zeta(s_1,s_2)$ with $s_1+s_2\leq 16$, he proved [17]

$$\forall s>1,\qquad \zeta(s,1)=\frac{1}{2}\zeta(s+1)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{s-1}\zeta(j+1)\zeta(s-j). \tag{2}$$

Extending (2), Nielsen showed that[2] $\zeta(s,\{1\}^{r-1})$ is an homogenous polynomial of degree $n+r$ of $\{\zeta(2),\ldots,\zeta(s+r)\}$ with rational coefficients [40, 41, 42] (see also [26, 29]).

After that, Riemann extended $\zeta(s)$ as a meromorphic function [44] on $\mathbb{C}$. The series converges absolutely in $\mathcal{H}_1=\{s\in\mathbb{C}|\Re(s)>1\}$. Moreover, if $\Re(s)\geq a>1$, it is dominated, term by term, by the absolutely convergent series, of general term n^{-a} so, by Cauchy's criterion, it converges in $\mathcal{H}_1$ (compact uniform convergence and then represents a holomorphic fonction in[3] $\mathcal{H}_1$ [44]).

In the same vein $\zeta(s_1,\ldots,s_r)$ is well-defined on $\mathbb{C}^r$ as a meromorphic function [1, 23, 45, 39]. Denoting $t_0=1,u_{r+1}=1$ and

$$\lambda(z):=z/(1-z), \tag{3}$$

this can be done via the following integral representations obtained by the convolution theorem and by changes of variables [25, 26, 29]

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(s_1,\ldots,s_r) &= \int_0^1\frac{dt_1}{1-t_1}\frac{\log^{s_1-1}(t_0/t_1)}{\Gamma(s_1)}\cdots\int_0^{t_r-1}\frac{dt_r}{1-t_r}\frac{\log^{s_r-1}(t_{r-1}/t_r)}{\Gamma(s_r)}\\ &= \int_{[0,1]^r}\prod_{j=1}^r\log^{s_j-1}(\frac{1}{u_j})\frac{\lambda(u_1\ldots u_j)}{\Gamma(s_j)}\frac{du_j}{u_j}=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^r}\prod_{j=1}^r\frac{\lambda(e^{-(u_1\ldots u_j)})}{\Gamma(s_j)}\frac{du_j}{u_j^{1-s_j}}.\end{aligned}$$

In fact, one has two ways of thinking polyzetas as limits, fulfilling identities. Firstly, they are limits of *polylogarithms*, at $z=1$, and secondly, as truncated sums, they are limits of *harmonic sums* when the upper bound tends to $+\infty$. The link between these holomorphic and arithmetic functions is as follows.

For any r-uplet $(s_1,\ldots,s_r)\in\mathbb{N}_+^r$, $r\in\mathbb{N}_+$ and for any $z\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $|z|<1$, the polylogarithm and the harmonic sum are well defined by

$$\mathrm{Li}_{s_1,\ldots,s_r}(z):=\sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_r>0}\frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1}\ldots n_r^{s_r}}\quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{H}_{s_1,\ldots,s_r}(N):=\sum_{N\geq n_1>\ldots>n_r>0}\frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}\ldots n_r^{s_r}}.$$

These objects appeared within the functional expansions in order to represent the nonlinear dynamical systems in quantum electrodynanics and have been developped by Tomonaga, Schwinger and Feynman [13]. They appeared then in

[2]Nielsen wished to establish an identity analogous to the one given in (1) for $\zeta(2p+1)$ but he did not succeed. We have explained in [27, 30] these difficulties and impossibility [34].

[3]which is actually the domain of absolute convergence of this univariate series.

the singular expansion of the solutions and their successive (ordinary or functional) derivations [20] of nonlinear differential equations with three singularities [2, 12, 32, 33] and then they also appeared in the asymptotic expansion of the Taylor coefficients. The main challenge of these expansions lies in the divergences and leads to problems of *regularization* and *renormalization* which can be solved by combinatorial technics [7, 10, 12, 19, 20, 32, 33, 37].

Let[4] $\mathcal{H}_r = \{(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r | \forall m = 1, \ldots, r, \Re(s_1) + \ldots + \Re(s_m) > m\}$. From the analytic continuation point of view [1, 14, 15, 23, 39, 45] and after a theorem by Abel, one has

$$\forall (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathcal{H}_r, \qquad \zeta(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \lim_{z \to 1} \mathrm{Li}_{s_1,\ldots,s_r}(z) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathrm{H}_{s_1,\ldots,s_r}(N).$$

This theorem is no more valid in the divergent cases as, for $(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Li}_{\{1\}^k, s_{k+1},\ldots,s_r}(z) &= \sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1 \ldots n_k n_{k+1}^{s_{k+1}} \ldots n_r^{s_r}}, \\
\mathrm{H}_{\{1\}^k, s_{k+1},\ldots,s_r}(N) &= \sum_{N \geq n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} \frac{1}{n_1 \ldots n_k n_{k+1}^{s_{k+1}} \ldots n_r^{s_r}}, \\
\mathrm{Li}_{\{1\}^r}(z) &= \sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1 \ldots n_r} = \frac{1}{r!} \log^r \frac{1}{1-z}, \\
\mathrm{H}_{\{1\}^r}(N) &= \sum_{N \geq n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} \frac{1}{n_1 \ldots n_r} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{S_1(k,r)}{k!}, \\
\mathrm{Li}_{\{0\}^r}(z) &= \sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} z^{n_1} = \left(\frac{z}{1-z}\right)^r, \\
\mathrm{H}_{\{0\}^r}(N) &= \sum_{N \geq n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} 1 = \binom{N}{r}, \\
\mathrm{Li}_{-s_1,\ldots,-s_r}(z) &= \sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_r^{s_r}\ z^{n_1}, \\
\mathrm{H}_{-s_1,\ldots,-s_r}(N) &= \sum_{N \geq n_1>\ldots>n_r>0} n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_r^{s_r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the Stirling numbers of first and second kind denoted $S_1(k, j)$ and $S_2(k, j)$ respectively, can be defined, for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k$, by

$$\sum_{t=0}^{n} S_1(n,t) x^t = x(x+1)\ldots(x+n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2(n,k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} (k-i)^n.$$

[4]For $m \geq 2$, the domain of absolute convergence of $\zeta(s_1, \ldots, s_r)$ contains the domain $\mathcal{H}_r$ [39].

These divergent cases require the renormalization of the corresponding divergent polyzetas. This is already done for the corresponding four first cases [8, 9, 32] and it has to be completely done for the remainder [22, 24, 38]. Since the algebras of polylogarithms and of harmonic sums, at strictly positive indices, are isomorphic respectively to the shuffle, $(\mathbb{Q}\langle X\rangle, \sqcup\!\sqcup, 1_{X^*})$, and quasi-shuffle algebras, $(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y\rangle, \text{⧢}, 1_{Y^*})$, both admitting, as pure transcendence bases, the Lyndon words $\mathcal{L}ynX$ and $\mathcal{L}ynY$ over $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ $(x_0 < x_1)$ and $Y = \{y_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ $(y_1 > y_2 > \ldots)$ respectively, we can index, as in [33, 34], these polylogarithms, harmonic sums and polyzetas, at positive indices, by words.

Moreover, using

1. The one-to-one correspondence between the combinatorial compositions $(\{1\}^k, s_{k+1}, \ldots, s_r)$, the words $y_1^k y_{s_{k+1}} \ldots y_{s_r}$ and $x_1^k x_0^{s_{k+1}-1} x_1 \ldots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1$ for the indexing by words : $\mathrm{Li}_{x_0^{s_1-1}x_1\ldots x_0^{s_r-1}x_1} := \mathrm{Li}_{s_1,\ldots,s_r}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}} := \mathrm{H}_{s_1,\ldots,s_r}$. Here, π_Y is the adjoint of π_X for the canonical scalar products where π_X is the morphism of AAU, $k\langle Y\rangle \to k\langle X\rangle$, defined by $\pi_X(y_k) = x_0^{k-1}x_1$.

2. The pure transcendence bases, denoted $\{S_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynX}$ and $\{\Sigma_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynY}$, of respectively $(\mathbb{Q}\langle X\rangle, \sqcup\!\sqcup, 1_{X^*})$ and $(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y\rangle, \text{⧢}, 1_{Y^*})$; and dually, the bases of Lie algebras of primitive elements $\{P_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynX}$ and $\{\Pi_l\}_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynY}$ of respectively the bialgebras $(\mathbb{Q}\langle X\rangle, \mathtt{conc}, 1_{X^*}, \Delta_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}, \epsilon)$ and $(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y\rangle, \mathtt{conc}, 1_{Y^*}, \Delta_{\text{⧢}}, \epsilon)$ [6, 33, 34, 43],

3. The noncommutative generating series in their factorized forms, *i.e.*

$$\begin{aligned} &:= \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynX} \exp(\mathrm{Li}_{S_l} P_l) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H} := \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynY} \exp(\mathrm{H}_{\Sigma_l}\Pi_l), \\ Z_{\sqcup\!\sqcup} &:= \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynX\setminus X} \exp(\zeta(S_l)P_l) \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\text{⧢}} := \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynY\setminus\{y_1\}} \exp(\zeta(\Sigma_l)\Pi_l), \end{aligned}$$

we established an Abel like theorem [32, 33, 34], *i.e.*

$$\lim_{z\to 1} \exp\left[y_1 \log\frac{1}{1-z}\right]\pi_Y(z) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \exp\left[\sum_{k\geq 1} \mathrm{H}_{y_k}(N)\frac{(-y_1)^k}{k}\right]\mathrm{H}(N) = \pi_Y Z_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}. \quad (4)$$

leading to discover a bridge equation for these two algebraic structures[5]

$$\exp\left[\sum_{k\geq 2}\zeta(k)\frac{(-y_1)^k}{k}\right] \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynY\setminus\{y_1\}}^{\searrow} \exp(\zeta(\Sigma_l)\Pi_l) = \pi_Y \prod_{l\in\mathcal{L}ynX\setminus X}^{\searrow} \exp(\zeta(S_l)P_l). \quad (5)$$

[5] By definition, in $Z_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}$ and $Z_{\text{⧢}}$, only *convergent* polyzetas arise and and we do not need any regularization process, studied earlier in [9, 27, 28] and constructed in [33, 34] (see also other processes developped in [5, 35]).

Extracting the coefficients in the generating series allows to explicit counter-terms which eliminate the divergence of $\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w\in x_1X^*}$ and $\{\mathrm{H}_w\}_{w\in y_1Y^*}$. Identifying local coordinates in (5), allows to calculate the finite parts associated to divergent polyzetas and to describe the graded core of the kernel of ζ by *algebraic* generators[6].

As in previous works, to study combinatorial aspects of harmonic sums and polylogarithms[7] at non-positive multi-indices, we associate $(s_1,\ldots,s_r)\in\mathbb{N}^r$ to $y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}\in Y_0^*$, where $Y_0=\{y_k\}_{k\geq 0}$, and index them by words (see Section 3) :

1. For $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}}:=\mathrm{H}_{-s_1,\ldots,-s_r}$, we will extend (Theorem 1) a form of Faulhaber's formula which expresses H^-_p, for $p\geq 0$, as a polynomial of degree $p+1$ with coefficients involving the Bernoulli numbers using the exponential generating series $\sum_{n>0}(\sum_{k=1}^n k^p)z^n/n!=(1-e^{pz})/(e^{-z}-1)$ [36].

2. For $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}}:=\mathrm{Li}_{-s_1,\ldots,-s_r}$, we will base ourselves (Theorem 2) on the Eulerian polynomials, $A_n(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}A_{n,k}z^k$ and the coefficients $A_{n,k}$'s are the Eulerian numbers defined as $A_{n,k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\binom{n+1}{j}(k+1-j)^n$ [11, 21].

Afterwards, for their global renormalisation, we will consider their noncommutative generating series and will establish an Abel like theorem (Theorem 3) analogous to (4). Finally, in Section 4, to determine their algebraic structures (Theorems 4, 5 and 6), we will construct a new law, denoted $\top$, on $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$, and will prove that the following morphisms of algebras, mapping w to H^-_w and Li^-_w, respectively, are *surjective* and will completely describe their kernels

$$\mathrm{H}^-_\bullet : (\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle, ш) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Q}\{\mathrm{H}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}, .), \tag{6}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}^-_\bullet : (\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle, \top) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Q}\{\mathrm{Li}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}, .). \tag{7}$$

2. Background

2.1. Combinatorial background of the quasi-shuffle Hopf algebras

Let Y_0 be totally ordered by $y_0>y_1>\ldots$. We denote also $Y_0^+=Y_0Y_0^*$ and $Y^+=YY^*$, the free semigroups of non-empty words. The weight and length of $w=y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}$, are respectively the numbers $(w):=s_1+\ldots+s_r$ and $|w|:=r$.

Let $\mathbb{K}\langle Y_0\rangle$ be the vector space[8] freely generated by Y_0^*, *i.e.* $\mathbb{K}^{(Y_0^*)}$, equipped by

[6]The graded core of a subspace W is the largest graded subspace contained in W. It is conjectured that this core is *all* the kernel. One of us proposed a tentative demonstration of this statement in [33, 34]. If this holds, then this kernel would be generated by homogenous polynomials and the quotient be automatically $\mathbb{N}$-graded.

[7] From now on, without contrary mention, it will be supposed that the indices of harmonic sums and polylogarithms are taken as non-positive multi-indices.

[8]or module, $\mathbb{K}$ is currently a ring.

1. The concatenation (or by its associated coproduct, $\Delta_{\mathtt{conc}}$).

2. The *shuffle* product, *i.e.* the commutative product defined, for any $x, y \in Y_0$ and $u, v, w \in Y_0^*$, by

$$w \sqcup 1_{Y_0^*} = 1_{Y_0^*} \sqcup w = w \quad \text{and} \quad xu \sqcup yv = x(u \sqcup yv) + y(xu \sqcup v),$$

or by its associated[9] dual coproduct, $\Delta_{\sqcup}$, defined, on the letters $y_k \in Y_0$, by $\Delta_{\sqcup}(y_k) = y_k \otimes 1_{Y_0^*} + 1_{Y_0^*} \otimes y_k$ and extended by morphism. It satisfies, for any $u, v, w \in Y_0^*$, $\langle \Delta_{\sqcup}(w) \mid u \otimes v \rangle = \langle w \mid u \sqcup v \rangle$.

3. The *quasi-shuffle* (or stuffle[10], or sticky shuffle) product, *i.e.* the commutative product defined by, for any $y_i, y_j \in Y_0$ and $u, v, w \in Y_0^*$, by

$$\begin{aligned} w \diamond 1_{Y_0^*} &= 1_{Y_0^*} \diamond w = w, \\ y_i u \diamond y_j v &= y_j(y_i u \diamond v) + y_i(u \diamond y_j v) + y_{i+j}(u \diamond v), \end{aligned}$$

or by its associated dual coproduct, $\Delta_{\diamond}$, defined on the letters $y_k \in Y_0$ by

$$\Delta_{\diamond}(y_k) = y_k \otimes 1_{Y_0^*} + 1_{Y_0^*} \otimes y_k + \sum_{i+j=n} y_i \otimes y_j$$

and extended by morphism. In fact, this is the adjoint of the law as it satisfies, for all $u, v, w \in Y_0^*$, $\langle \Delta_{\diamond}(w) \mid u \otimes v \rangle = \langle w \mid u \diamond v \rangle$.

4. With the counit defined, for any $P \in \mathbb{K}\langle Y_0 \rangle$, by $\epsilon(P) = \langle P \mid 1_{Y_0^*} \rangle$, one gets[11]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\sqcup} &= (\mathbb{K}\langle Y_0 \rangle, \mathtt{conc}, 1_{Y_0^*}, \Delta_{\sqcup}, \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}^{\vee} = (\mathbb{K}\langle Y_0 \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{Y_0^*}, \Delta_{\mathtt{conc}}, \epsilon), \\ \mathcal{H}_{\diamond} &= (\mathbb{K}\langle Y_0 \rangle, \mathtt{conc}, 1_{Y_0^*}, \Delta_{\diamond}, \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\diamond}^{\vee} = (\mathbb{K}\langle Y_0 \rangle, \diamond, 1_{Y_0^*}, \Delta_{\mathtt{conc}}, \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Integro-differential operators

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (1-z)^{-1}]$ and $\Vdash_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbb{C} -]-\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[\to \mathbb{C}$ maps z to 1. Let us consider the following differential and integration operators acting on $\mathcal{C}\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ [33] :

$$\partial_z = d/dz, \quad \theta_0 = z\partial_z, \quad \theta_1 = (1-z)\partial_z,$$
$$\forall f \in \mathcal{C}, \qquad \iota_0(f) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{f(s)ds}{s} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \iota_1(f) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{f(s)ds}{1-s}.$$

[9] in fact, this is the adjoint of the law.

[10] This word was introduced by Borwein and *al.*. The sticky shuffle product Hopf algebras were introduced independently by physicists due to their rôle in stochastic analysis.

[11] Out of these four bialgebras, only the third one does not admit an antipode due to the presence of the group-like element $g = (1 + y_0)$ which admits no inverse.

In here, $z_0 = 0$ if $\iota_0 f$ (resp. $\iota_1 f$) exists[12] and else $z_0 = 1$. One can check easily that $\theta_0 + \theta_1 = \partial_z$ and $\theta_0\iota_0 = \theta_1\iota_1 = \mathrm{Id}$ [12].

For any $u = y_{t_1}\dots y_{t_r} \in Y_0^*$, one can also rephrase the construction of polylogarithms as $\mathrm{Li}_u = (\iota_0^{t_1-1}\iota_1\dots\iota_0^{t_r-1}\iota_1)1_\Omega$, $\mathrm{Li}^-_u = (\theta_0^{t_1+1}\iota_1\dots\theta_0^{t_r+1}\iota_1)1_\Omega$ and [12]

$$\theta_0\,\mathrm{Li}_{x_0\pi_X u} = \mathrm{Li}_{\pi_X u}; \theta_1\,\mathrm{Li}_{x_1\pi_X u} = \mathrm{Li}_{\pi_X u}; \iota_0\,\mathrm{Li}_{\pi_X u} = \mathrm{Li}_{x_0\pi_X u}; \iota_1\,\mathrm{Li}_u = \mathrm{Li}_{x_1\pi_X u}\,. \quad (8)$$

The subspace $\mathcal{C}\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w\in X^*}$ (which is, in fact, a subalgebra) is then closed under the action of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \iota_0, \iota_1\}$ and the operators $\theta_0\iota_1$ and $\theta_1\iota_0$ admit respectively λ (see (3)) and $1/\lambda$ as eigenvalues ($\mathcal{C}\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w\in X^*}$ is their eigenspace) [12] :

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{C}\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w\in X^*}, \quad (\theta_0\iota_1)f = \lambda f \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_1\iota_0)f = f/\lambda, \quad (9)$$

$$\forall w \in X^*, \quad (\theta_0\iota_1)\,\mathrm{Li}_w = \lambda\,\mathrm{Li}_w \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_1\iota_0)\,\mathrm{Li}_w = \mathrm{Li}_w\,/\lambda. \quad (10)$$

2.3. Eulerian polynomials and Stirling numbers

It is well-known that [11, 21] for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n}(z) = \frac{zA_n(z)}{(1-z)^{n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=k-1}^{n-1} A_{n,j}\binom{j+1}{k}(-1)^k\right)\frac{1}{(1-z)^{n+1-k}} \quad (11)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n+1}\frac{(t-1)!(-1)^{t+n+1}S_2(n+1,t)}{(1-z)^t}. \quad (12)$$

Using the notations given in Section 2.2, one also has

$$\text{If } k>0 \quad \text{then } \theta_0^k\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}\sum_{j=1}^{k} S_2(k,j)j!\lambda^j(z) \quad \text{else } \lambda(z). \quad (13)$$

Let T be the invertible matrix $(t_{i,j})_{i\geq 1}^{j\geq 0} \in Mat_\infty(\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ defined by

$$\text{If } i>j \quad \text{then } t_{i,j} = \frac{S_1(i,j+1)}{(i-1)!} \quad \text{else } 0. \quad (14)$$

3. Combinatorial aspects of harmonic sums and polylogarithms

3.1. Combinatorial aspects of harmonic sums at non-positive multi-indices

Definition 1 (Extended Bernoulli polynomials). *Let* $\{B_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}, \{\beta_w\}_{w\in Y^*}$ *be two families of polynomials defined, for any* $r \geq 1, z \in \mathbb{C}, y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r} \in Y_0^*$ *by*

$$B_{y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r}}(z+1) = B_{y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r}}(z) + s_1 z^{s_1-1}B_{y_{s_2}\dots y_{s_r}}(z)$$

[12] This can be made precise, remarking that $\mathcal{C}\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w\in X^*} = \mathcal{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}\{\mathrm{Li}_w\}_{w\in X^*}$ and then study the integral on the basis $\frac{z^k}{(1-z)^l}\otimes\mathrm{Li}_w$.

and, for any $y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}\in Y^*$ *we set* $\beta_w(z):=B_w(z)-B_w(0)$.

One defines also, for any $w=y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}\in Y_0^*$ *(with* $b_w:=B_w(0)$*) and*

$$b'_{y_{n_k}}:=b_{y_{n_k}}\quad and\quad b'_{y_{n_k}\dots y_{n_r}}:=b_{y_{n_k}\dots y_{n_r}}-\sum_{j=0}^{r-1-k}b_{n_{y_{k+j+1}}\dots y_{n_r}}b'_{y_{n_k}\dots y_{n_{k+j}}}.$$

Note that, for any $s_1\neq 1$, one has $B_{y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r}}(0)=B_{y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r}}(1)=b_{y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r}}$ and the polynomials $\{B_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}$ depend on the (arbitrary) choice of $\{b_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}$. In here, by (1), we put $b_{y_{s_1}}=b_{s_1}$ then $B_{y_{s_1}}(z)=B_{s_1}(z)$ is the $s_1^{th}-$ Bernoulli polynomial [31].

Now, let $M=\left(m_{i,j}\right)_{j\geq 1}^{i\geq 0}\in Mat_\infty(\mathbb{Q})$ be the invertible matrix[13] defined by

$$m_{i,j}=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if}\quad i<j-1,\\ b_i, & \text{if}\quad j=1, i\neq 1\\ 1/2, & \text{if}\quad j=i=1\\ im_{i-1,j-1}/j, & \text{if}\quad i>j-1>0.\end{cases}\tag{15}$$

Let us consider also the following invertible matrix $D\in Mat_r(\mathbb{N})$

$$d_{i,j}=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if}\quad 1\leq i<j\leq r,\\ n_1\dots n_i, & \text{if}\quad 1\leq i=j\leq r,\\ n_1\dots n_j b_{y_{n_{j+1}}\dots y_{n_i}}, & \text{if}\quad 1\leq j<i\leq r,\end{cases}$$

Then its inverse, $D^{-1}=(v_{i,j})$, can be also described as follows

$$v_{i,j}=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if}\quad 1\leq i<j\leq r,\\ 1/(n_1\dots n_i), & \text{if}\quad 1\leq i=j\leq r,\\ -b'_{y_{n_{j+1}}\dots y_{n_i}}/(n_1\dots n_i), & \text{if}\quad 1\leq j<i\leq r.\end{cases}$$

Proposition 1 ([11]). *For any* $N>0$ *and* $y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}\in Y^*$*, one has*

$$\beta_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}(N+1)=\sum_{k=1}^{r}(\prod_{i=1}^{k}n_i)b_{y_{n_{k+1}}\dots y_{n_r}}\mathrm{H}^-_{y_{n_1-1}\dots y_{n_k-1}}(N).$$

Proof – Successively $B_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}(N+1)-B_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}(N)=n_1N^{n_1-1}B_{y_{n_2}\dots y_{n_r}}(N)$, $\dots$, $B_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}(2)-b_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}=n_1 1^{n_1-1}B_{y_{n_2}\dots y_{n_r}}(1)$. It follows the expected result:

$$\beta_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}(N+1)\;=\;n_1\sum_{k_1=1}^{N}k_1^{n_1-1}B_{y_{n_2}\dots y_{n_r}}(k_1)$$

[13]In this paper, M^{-1} and M^t denote as usual the inverse and transpose of the matrix M.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= n_1 \sum_{k_1=1}^{N} k_1^{n_1-1} \beta_{y_{n_2}\dots y_{n_r}}(k_1) + n_1 \sum_{k_1=1}^{N} k_1^{n_1-1} b_{y_{n_2}\dots y_{n_r}} \\
&\vdots \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{r} (\prod_{i=1}^{k} n_i) b_{y_{n_{k+1}}\dots y_{n_r}} \mathrm{H}^-_{y_{n_1-1}\dots y_{n_k-1}}(N).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Theorem 1. *1. For any $w \in Y_0^*, \mathrm{H}^-_w$ is a polynomial function , in N, of degree $(w)+|w|$.*

2. If $w \in Y^*$, there exists a polynomial G^-_w, of degree $(w)-1$, such that $\mathrm{H}^-_w(N) = (N+1)N(N-1)\dots(N-|w|+1)\mathrm{G}^-_w(N)$. Conversely, for any $N, k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, one has $N^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{j+k-1}\binom{k}{j}\mathrm{H}^-_{y_j}(N)$.

3. We get (for $r=1$, this corresponds to Faulhaber's formula [18, 36]) :

$$
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}(N) = \frac{\beta_{y_{n_1+1}\dots y_{n_r+1}}(N+1) - \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} b'_{y_{n_{k+1}+1}\dots y_{n_r+1}} \beta_{y_{n_1+1}\dots y_{n_k+1}}(N+1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r}(n_i+1)}.
$$

Proof – For any $y_n \in Y$ and $w = y_n y_m \in Y^*$, putting $p = (w)+|w|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_n}(N) &= \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+1}{k} b_k (N+1)^{n+1-k}, \\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_n y_m}(N) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{l=0}^{p-1-k}\sum_{q=0}^{p-k-l} \frac{b_k b_l}{(m+1)(p-k)}\binom{m+1}{k}\binom{p-k}{l}\binom{p-k-l}{q} N^q.
\end{aligned}
$$

1. As above, $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_n}, \mathrm{H}^-_{y_n y_m}$ are polynomials of respective degrees $n+1, n+m+2$.

   Since, for any $s \in \mathbb{N}, w \in Y_0^*, N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N+1) - \mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N) = N^s \mathrm{H}^-_w(N)$ then $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}$ satisfies the difference equation $f(N+1) - f(N) = N^s \mathrm{H}^-_w(N)$ (see Lemma 3 below). For $|w| = k > 2$, suppose H^-_w is a polynomial and $\deg(\mathrm{H}^-_w) = (w)+|w|$. Now, let $y_s \in Y_0$. Then $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}$ is not constant. Indeed, $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^s \mathrm{H}^-_w(n-1)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N+1) - \mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N) = (N+1)^s \mathrm{H}^-_w(N)$. Thus, by Lemma 3, $\deg(\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}) = \deg(\mathrm{H}^-_w) + s + 1 = (y_s w) + |y_s w|$.

   By the definition, for any $w = y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r} \in Y^*, \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ are solutions of the equation $\mathrm{H}^-_w \equiv 0$. There exists then a polynomial G_w such that $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r}}(N) = N(N-1)\dots(N-r+1)G_w(N)$. Now, for any $s \geq 1$ and $w \in Y^*$, we have $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N+1) - \mathrm{H}^-_{y_s w}(N) = (N+1)^s \mathrm{H}^-_w(N)$. So -1 is also solution of the equation $\mathrm{H}^-_w \equiv 0$. Then the second result follows.

2. By Faulhaber's formula [18, 36], one has $\left(\mathrm{H}^-_{y_i}(N)\right)^t_{i\geq 0} = M\left(N^j\right)^t_{j\geq 1}$. Thus, by inversion matrix, the third result follows.

3. Let $H^- := \left(\mathrm{H}^-_{y_{n_1-1}} \quad \dots \quad \mathrm{H}^-_{y_{n_1-1}\dots y_{n_r-1}}\right)^t$ and $\beta := \left(\beta_{y_{n_1}} \quad \dots \quad \beta_{y_{n_1}\dots y_{n_r}}\right)^t$. Hence, $H^-(N) = D^{-1}\beta(N+1)$ and the last result follows.

□

Example 1.

- *For $r = 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_0}(N) &= N,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_1}(N) &= N(N+1)/2,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_2}(N) &= N(N+1)(2N+1)/6,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_3}(N) &= N^2(N+1)^2/4.
\end{aligned}$$

- *For $r = 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_0^2}(N) &= N(N-1)/2,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_1^2}(N) &= N(N-1)(3N+2)(N+1)/24,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_1y_2}(N) &= N(N-1)(N+1)(8N^2+5N-2)/120,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_2y_1}(N) &= N(N-1)(N+1)(12N^2+15N+2)/120.
\end{aligned}$$

- *For $r = 3$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_0^3}(N) &= N(N-1)(N-2)/6,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_1^3}(N) &= N^2(N-1)(N-2)(N+1)^2/48,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_1^2y_2}(N) &= N(N-1)(N-2)(N+1)(48N^3+19N^2-61N-24)/5040,\\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_1^2y_3}(N) &= N(N-1)(N-2)(N+1)(7N^2+3N-2)(5N^2-3N-12)/6720.
\end{aligned}$$

3.2. Combinatorial aspects of polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices

Definition 2 (Extended Eulerian polynomials). *For any $w = y_{s_1}\dots y_{s_r} \in Y^+$, the polynomial A^-_w, of degree (w), is defined as follows*

$$\text{If } r = 1, \quad A^-_w = A_{s_1} \text{ else } A^-_w = \sum_{i=0}^{s_1}\binom{s_1}{i} A^-_{y_i} A^-_{y_{s_1+s_2-i}y_{s_3}\dots y_{s_r}}$$

where, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, A_n denotes the n-th classical Eulerian polynomial.

Note that the coefficients of the polyomials $\{A^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}$ are integers.

Theorem 2. *1. If $r > 1$ then* $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}} = \sum_{t=0}^{s_1} \binom{s_1}{t} \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_t} \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{s_1+s_2-t} y_{s_3}\ldots y_{s_r}}$.

2. For any $w \in Y_0^*$, $\mathrm{Li}^-_w(z) = \lambda^{|w|}(z) A^-_w(z)(1-z)^{-(w)} \in \mathbb{Z}[(1-z)^{-1}]$ is a polynomial function of degree[14] *$(w)+|w|$ on $(1-z)^{-1}$. Conversely, we get $(1-z)^{-k} = (1-z)^{-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} S_1(k,j) \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{j-1}}(z)/(k-1)!$.*

3. We have $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}}(z) = \lambda(z)^{|w|} \sum_{i=r}^{s_1+\ldots+s_r} \sum_{j=0}^{s_1\ldots+s_{r-1}} l_{i,j} z^{i-1-j}(1-z)^{-i}$, where

$$l_{i,j} = \sum_{\substack{1\leq k_t\leq s_t \\ k_1+\ldots+k_r=i}} \prod_{n=1}^{r} (k_n! S_2(s_n,k_n)) \sum_{\substack{0\leq t_m\leq k_m \\ 1\leq m\leq r-1}}^{t_1+\ldots+t_{r-1}=j} \prod_{p=1}^{r-1} \binom{k_r+\ldots+k_{r-p+1}+p-t_{r-p+1}-\ldots-t_{r-1}}{t_{r-p}} \binom{k_{r-p}+t_{r-p+1}+\ldots t_{r-1}}{k_{r-p}-t_{r-p}}.$$

PROOF – For $w = y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r} \in Y_0^*$, $\mathrm{Li}^-_w = (\theta_0^{s_1+1}\iota_1)\ldots(\theta_0^{s_{r-1}+1}\iota_1)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{s_r}}$ [12]. Hence,

1. The actions of θ_i, ι_i yield immediately the expected result. Next, using θ_i, ι_i, one has $\mathrm{Li}^-_w(z) = \lambda^{|w|}(z) A^-_w(z)(1-z)^{-(w)}$ and $\mathrm{Li}^-_w \in \mathbb{Z}[(1-z)^{-1}]$. Hence, Li^-_w is a polynomial of degree $(w)+|w|$ $(w)+|w|$ on $(1-z)^{-1}$.

2. Since $\left((1-z)^{-j}\right)_{j\geq 1}^{t} = T\left((1-z)^{-1} \quad (\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_i}(z))_{i\geq 1}\right)^t$ then, by matrix inversion, the expected result follows immediately.

3. The expected result follows by using (13) in the following expressions

$$\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{s_1}\ldots y_{s_r}} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{s_1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{s_1+s_2-k_1} \cdots \sum_{k_r=0}^{\substack{(s_1+\ldots+s_r)- \\ (k_1+\ldots+k_{r-1})}} \binom{s_1}{k_1} \binom{s_1+s_2-k_1}{k_2} \ldots \binom{s_1+\ldots+s_r-k_1-\ldots-k_{r-1}}{k_r} (\theta_0^{k_r}\lambda)(\theta_0^{k_2}\lambda)\ldots(\theta_0^{k_r}\lambda).$$

□

Example 2. *Since* $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_m y_n} = (\theta_0^{m+1}\iota_1)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n} = \theta_0^m(\theta_0\iota_1)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n}$ *and* $(\theta_0\iota_1)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n} = \mathrm{Li}_0 \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n}$, *one has* $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_m y_n} = \theta_0^m[\mathrm{Li}_0 \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n}] = \sum_{l=0}^{m} \binom{m}{l} \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_l} \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{m+n-l}}$. *For example,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_1^2}(z) &= \mathrm{Li}_{y_0}(z)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_2}(z) + (\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_1}(z))^2 \\ &= -(1-z)^{-1} + 5(1-z)^{-2} - 7(1-z)^{-3} + 3(1-z)^{-4}, \end{aligned}$$

[14] Putting $K_w(1/z) := A^-_w(z)/z^{(w)}$, one gets $\mathrm{Li}^w_w(z) = \lambda^{(w)+|w|}(z) K_w(1/z)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_2y_1}(z) &= \mathrm{Li}_{y_0}(z)\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_3}(z) + 3\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_1}(z)\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_2}(z)\\
&= (1-z)^{-1} - 11(1-z)^{-2} + 31(1-z)^{-3} - 33(1-z)^{-4} + 12(1-z)^{-5},\\
\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_1y_2}(z) &= \mathrm{Li}_{y_0}(z)\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_3}(z) + \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_1}(z)\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_2}(z)\\
&= (1-z)^{-1} - 9(1-z)^{-2} + 23(1-z)^{-3} - 23(1-z)^{-4} + 8(1-z)^{-5}.
\end{aligned}$$

3.3. Asymptotics of harmonic sums and singular expansion of polylogarithms

Proposition 2 ([11]). *Let $w \in Y_0^*$. There are non-zero constants C_w^- and B_w^- such that*[15] $\mathrm{H}^-_w(N) \widetilde{_{N\to\infty}} N^{(w)+|w|}C_w^-$ *and* $\mathrm{Li}^-_w(z) \widetilde{_{z\to 1}} B_w^-(1-z)^{-((w)+|w|)}$.

Moreover, $C^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} = B^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} = 1$ and, for any $w \in Y_0^+$,

$$C_w^- = \prod_{w=uv, v\neq 1_{Y^*}} ((v)+|v|)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \quad \textit{and} \quad B_w^- = ((w)+\,|\,w\,|)!C_w^- \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$

Proof – By Theorem 1, H^-_w is a polynomial function of degree $(w)+\,|\,w\,|$ then such C_w^- exists. By induction, it is immediate that $C^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} = 1$ and $C^-_{y_s} = (s+1)^{-1}$, *i.e.* the result holds for $|w| \leq 1$. Suppose that it holds up to $|w| = k$ and for the next, let $y_s \in Y_0$ and $w \in Y_0^*$ such that $|w| = k$. Since $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_sw}$ is solution of $f(N+1) - f(N) = (N+1)^s\mathrm{H}^-_w(N)$, with initial condition $\mathrm{H}^-_w(0) = 0$, then we get the leading term of H^-_w. Remark that $(N+1)^s\mathrm{H}^-_w(N) \not\equiv 0$ is polynomial with $C_w^-N^{s+(w)+|w|}$ as leading term. Hence, by Lemma 3, the leading term of $\mathrm{H}^-_{y_sw}$ is $C_w^-N^{(y_sw)+|y_sw|}/((y_sw)+|y_sw|)$. It follows from this the expression of C_w^-. The second result is immediate by Theorem 2. □

Example 3 (of C_w^- and B_w^-).

w	C_w^-	B_w^-	w	C_w^-	B_w^-
y_0	1	1	y_1y_2	$\frac{1}{15}$	8
y_1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	y_2y_3	$\frac{1}{28}$	180
y_2	$\frac{1}{3}$	2	y_3y_4	$\frac{1}{49}$	8064
y_n	$\frac{1}{n+1}$	$n!$	y_my_n	$\frac{1}{(n+1)(m+n+2)}$	$\frac{(m+n+1)!}{(n+1)}$
y_0^2	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$y_2y_2y_3$	$\frac{1}{280}$	12960
y_0^n	$\frac{1}{n!}$	1	$y_2y_{10}y_1^2$	$\frac{1}{2160}$	9686476800
y_1^2	$\frac{1}{8}$	3	$y_2^2y_4y_3y_{11}$	$\frac{1}{2612736}$	4167611825465088000000

[15] This means $\lim_{N\to+\infty} N^{-((w)+|w|)}\mathrm{H}^-_w(N) = C_w^-$ and $\lim_{z\to+1}(1-z)^{(w)+|w|}\,\mathrm{Li}^-_w(z) = B_w^-$.

Definition 3. *Let us define the two following non commutative generating series* [16]

$$C^- := \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} C_w^- \, w \quad \textit{and} \quad \Theta(t) := \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} t^{|w|+(w)} w = \left(\sum_{y\in Y_0} t^{(y)+1} y\right)^*,$$
$$B^- := \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} B_w^- \, w \quad \textit{and} \quad \Lambda(t) := \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} (|w|+(w))! t^{|w|+(w)} w.$$

By Theorems 1, 2 and, for any $w \in Y_0^*$, denoting $p = (w) + |w|$, one also has

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(N) &= 1_{Y_0^*} + \sum_{w\in Y_0^+} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^{p+j-1} \binom{p}{j} \mathrm{H}_{y_j}^-(N)\right] w,\\
\Lambda((1-z)^{-1}) &= 1_{Y_0^*} + (\mathrm{Li}_{y_0}^-(z) - 1) y_0\\
&\quad + \sum_{w\in Y_0^+\setminus\{y_0\}} \left[(-1)^{p+1}(\mathrm{Li}_{y_0}^-(z)-1) + \sum_{j=2}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{p+j} S_1(p,j)}{(p-1)!} \mathrm{Li}_{y_{j-1}}^-(z)\right] w.
\end{aligned}$$

By Definition 3 and Proposition 2, we get

Theorem 3. *Let us consider now the following noncommutative generating series*

$$\mathrm{H}^- := \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} \mathrm{H}_w^- \, w \quad \textit{and} \quad {}^- := \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} \mathrm{Li}_w^- \, w.$$

Then[17] $\lim_{N\to+\infty} \Theta^{\odot -1}(N) \odot \mathrm{H}^-(N) = \lim_{z\to 1} \Lambda^{\odot -1}((1-z)^{-1}) \odot {}^-(z) = C^-$.

Definition 4. *Let $P \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$ such that $\mathrm{H}_P^- \not\equiv 0$. Let $B_P^-, C_P^- \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n(P) \in \mathbb{N}$ be defined respectively by $\mathrm{Li}_P^-(z) \widetilde{_{z\to 1}} (1-z)^{-n(P)} B_P^-$ and $\mathrm{H}_P^-(N) \widetilde{_{N\to\infty}} N^{n(P)} C_P^-$.*

It is immediate that[18] $0 \le n(P) \le \max_{u\in \mathrm{supp}P}\{(u) + |u|\}$.
Let $p_{\max} := \max_{u\in\mathrm{supp}P}\{(u)+|u|\} < +\infty$. We are extending[19] $C_\bullet^-$ over $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$:

- Step 1: Compute $C_0 = \sum_{(w)+|w|=p_{\max}} \langle P \mid w\rangle C_w^-$. If $C_0 \neq 0$ then $C_P^- = C_0$ else go to next step.

[16] using the Kleene star of series without constant term $S^* = (1-S)^{-1}$.

[17] *i.e.*, $\mathrm{H}^-(N) \widetilde{_{N\to+\infty}} C^- \odot \Theta(N)$ and ${}^-(z) \widetilde{_{z\to 1}} C^- \odot \Lambda((1-z)^{-1})$ where $\Theta^{\odot -1}$ and $\Lambda^{\odot -1}$ denote the inverses for Hadamard product of Θ and Λ respectively (to be compared with (4)). The equivalences are understood term by term.

[18] The support of $P = \sum_{u\in Y_0^*} x_u u \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$ is defined by $\mathrm{supp}P = \{w \in Y_0^* | \langle P \mid w\rangle \neq 0\}$ and the scalar product, on $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$, is defined by $\langle P \mid w\rangle = x_w$.

[19] This process, over $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$, ends after a finite number of steps.

Example 4. *For* $P = 6y_4y_2 + 12y_3^2 - 9y_5$, $(y_4y_2)+ \mid y_4y_2 \mid = (y_3^2)+ \mid y_3^2 \mid = 8 > 6 = (y_5)+ \mid y_5 \mid$. *Then* $p_{\max} = 8, C_0 = 6C^-_{y_4y_2} + 12C^-_{y_3^2} = \frac{5}{8}$ *and* $C^-_P = C_0 = 5/8$.

- Step 2: Let $p := p_{\max} - 1$ and $J_1 := \{v \in \mathrm{supp}P \mid (v)+ \mid v \mid = p\}$. Compute $C_1 = \sum_{c\in J_1} \alpha_c C^-_c \langle \mathrm{H}^-_{\sum_{c\in J}\alpha_c c} \mid N^p\rangle$. If $C_1 \neq 0$ then $C_0 := C_1$ else go on with $p-1$.

Example 5. $P = 12y_2y_1^4 - y_2y_3^2 - 9y_4^2$, $(y_1^4y_2)+ \mid y_1^4y_2 \mid = (y_2y_3^2)+ \mid y_2y_3^2 \mid = 11 > 10 = (y_4^2)+ \mid y_4^2 \mid$. *Thus,* $p_{\max} = 11, C_0 = 12C^-_{y_2y_1^4} - C^-_{y_2y_3^2} = 0$. *Next step. One has*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_2y_1^4}(N) &= \frac{1}{4224}N^{11} - \frac{181}{134400}N^{10} + \frac{31}{80640}N^9 + \frac{43}{5376}N^8 - \frac{1}{168}N^7 \\
&- \frac{1087}{57600}N^6 + \frac{47}{3840}N^5 + \frac{323}{16128}N^4 - \frac{1}{126}N^3 - \frac{787}{100800}N^2 + \frac{19}{18480}N, \\
\mathrm{H}^-_{y_2y_3^2}(N) &= \frac{1}{352}N^{11} - \frac{9}{2240}N^{10} - \frac{95}{4032}N^9 + \frac{11}{448}N^8 + \frac{13}{168}N^7 - \frac{149}{2880}N^6 \\
&- \frac{37}{320}N^5 + \frac{173}{4032}N^4 + \frac{71}{1008}N^3 - \frac{59}{5040}N^2 - \frac{53}{4620}N.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $C_1 = -\frac{2172}{134400} + \frac{9}{2240} - 9C^-_{y_4^2} = -\frac{269}{1400} \neq 0$ *and then* $C^-_P = -\frac{269}{1400}$.

- Suppose, in the $r^{th}-$ step, that the linear combination of coefficients of $N^{p_{\max}-r+1}$ in H^-_w with $w \in \{u \in \mathrm{supp}P \mid (u)+ \mid u \mid \geq p_{\max} - r + 1\}$ is zero, namely $C_{r-1} = 0$, then in $(r+1)^{th}-$ step to compute the linear combination of coefficients of $N^{p_{\max}-r}$ in H^-_w with $w \in \{u \in \mathrm{supp}P \mid (u)+ \mid u \mid \geq p_{\max} - r + 1\}$, and the linear combination of C^-_w with $w \in \{u \in \mathrm{supp}P \mid (u)+ \mid u \mid = p_{\max} - r\}$. If the sum of above results is not 0 then it is C^-_P else one jumps to next step.

For $P = \sum_{w\in Y^*} c_w w$, the similar algorithm can be used to compute B^-_P :

- Step 1: Let $J := \{v \in \mathrm{supp}P \mid (v)+ \mid v \mid = p_{\max}\}$. Compute $B^0 = \sum_{c\in J} \alpha_c B^-_c$. If $B^0 \neq 0$, then $B^-_P = B^0$ else go to next step.
- Step 2: Let $J_1 = \{v \in \mathrm{supp}P \mid (v)+ \mid v \mid = p_{\max} - 1\}$. Find the coefficient of $(1-z)^{-p_{\max}+1}$ in $\mathrm{Li}^-_{\sum_{c\in J}\alpha_c c}$, namely $b_{p_{\max}-1}$, and compute $B^1 = b_{p_{\max}-1} + \sum_{c\in J_1} \alpha_c B^-_c$. If $B^1 \neq 0$ then $B^-_P = B^1$ else continue with smaller orders.

4. Structure of harmonic sums and polylogarithms

4.1. Structure of harmonic sums at non-positive multi-indices

Proposition 3. *Let* $w_1, w_2 \in Y_0^*$. *Then* $\mathrm{H}^-_{w_1}\mathrm{H}^-_{w_2} = \mathrm{H}^-_{w_1 \boxplus w_2}$.

PROOF – Associating $(s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ to w, the quasi-symmetric monomial function on the commuting variables $t = \{t_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ is defined by

$$M_{1_{Y^*}}(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_w(t) = \sum_{n_1>\ldots>n_k>0} t_{n_1}^{s_1} \ldots t_{n_k}^{s_k}.$$

For any $u, v \in Y_0^*$, since $M_u M_v = M_{u \sqcup\!\sqcup v}$ then $\mathrm{H}^-_{s_1,\ldots,s_k}$ is obtained by specializing, in M_w, $t = \{t_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ at $t_i = i$ if $1 \leq i \leq N$ and else at $t_i = 0$ for $i \geq N+1$ for $i \geq N+1$. □

By the $\sqcup\!\sqcup$-extended Friedrichs criterion [33, 34], we get

Theorem 4. *1. The generating series* H^- *is group-like and* $\log \mathrm{H}^-$ *is primitive.*

2. $\ker \mathrm{H}^-_\bullet$ *is a prime ideal of* $(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle, \sqcup\!\sqcup)$*, i.e.* $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle \setminus \ker \mathrm{H}^-_\bullet$ *is closed by* $\sqcup\!\sqcup$*.*

Definition 5. *For any* $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$*, let* $\mathbb{P}_n := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}_+}\{w \in Y_0^* | (w) + |w| = n\} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+\langle Y_0\rangle$ *be the blunt convex cone (i.e. without zero or see Appendix A.) generated by the set* $\{w \in Y_0^* | (w) + |w| = n\}$*.*

By definition, $C^-_\bullet$ is linear on the set $\mathbb{P}_n$. For any $u, v \in Y_0^*$, one has $u \sqcup\!\sqcup v = u \sqcup\!\sqcup v + \sum_{(w)+|w|<(u)+|u|+|v|+(v)} x_w w$ and the x_w's are positive. Moreover, for any w which belongs to the support of $\sum_{(w)+|w|<(u)+|u|+|v|+(v)} x_w w$, one has $(w) + |w| < (u) + (v) + |u| + |v|$. Thus, by the definition of $C^-_\bullet$, one obtains

Corollary 1. *1. Let* $w, v \in Y_0^*$*. Then* $C^-_w C^-_v = C^-_{w \sqcup\!\sqcup v} = C^-_{w \sqcup\!\sqcup v}$*.*

2. For any $P, Q \notin \ker \mathrm{H}^-_\bullet$*,* $C^-_P C^-_Q = C^-_{P \sqcup\!\sqcup Q}$ *and* $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle \setminus \ker \mathrm{H}^-_\bullet$ *is a* $\sqcup\!\sqcup$ *– multiplicative monoid containing* Y_0^**.*

Let us prove $C^-_\bullet$ can be extended as a character C^-, for $\sqcup\!\sqcup$ or equivalently, by the Freidrichs' criterion [43], C^- is group-like and then $\log C^-$ is primitive, for $\Delta_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}$.

Lemma 1. *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a* $\mathbb{R}$*-associative algebra with unit and* $f : \sqcup_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ *(see Definition 5) such that*

1. *For any* $u, v \in Y_0^*$*,* $f(u \sqcup\!\sqcup v) = f(u)f(v)$ *and* $f(1_{Y_0^*}) = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$*.*
2. *For any finite set* I*, one has* $f(\sum_{i\in I} \alpha_i w_i) = \sum_{i\in I} \alpha_i f(w_i)$ *where* $\sum_{i\in I} \alpha_i w_i \in \mathbb{P}_n$*(finite non-trivial positive linear combination).*

Then f *can be uniquely extended as a character i.e.* $S_f = \sum_{w\in Y_0^*} f(w)w$ *is group-like for* $\Delta_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}$*.*

PROOF – The linear span of $\mathbb{P}_n$ is the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree n, (*i.e.*, $\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{R}_n\langle Y_0\rangle$), $\mathbb{P}_n$ being convex (and non-void), f extends uniquely, as a linear map, to $\mathbb{R}_n\langle Y_0\rangle$ and then, as a linear map, on $\oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{R}_n\langle Y_0\rangle = \mathbb{R}\langle Y_0\rangle$. This linear extension is a morphism for the shuffle product as it is so on the (linear) generators Y_0^*.

By definition of f and S_f, it is immediate $\langle S_f \mid 1_{Y_0^*}\rangle = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. One can check easily that $\Delta_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}(S_f) = S_f \otimes S_f$. Hence, S_f is group-like, for $\Delta_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}$. □

Corollary 2. *The noncommutative generating series C^- is group-like, for $\Delta_{\sqcup\!\sqcup}$.*

PROOF – It is a consequence of Lemma 1 and Corollary 1. □

Example 6 (of $C^-_{u \sqcup\!\sqcup v}$ and $C^-_{u \text{⧢} v}$).

u	C^-_u	v	C^-_v	$u \sqcup\!\sqcup v$	$C^-_{u \sqcup\!\sqcup v}$
y_0	1	y_0	1	$2y_0^2$	1
y_0^2	$\frac{1}{2}$				
y_1	$\frac{1}{2}$	y_2	$\frac{1}{3}$	$y_1y_2 + y_2y_1$	$\frac{1}{6}$
y_1y_2	$\frac{1}{15}$	y_2y_1	$\frac{1}{10}$		
y_m	$\frac{1}{m+1}$	y_n	$\frac{1}{n+1}$	$y_my_n + y_ny_m$	$\frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)}$
y_my_n	$\frac{(n+1)^{-1}}{(n+m+2)}$	y_ny_m	$\frac{(m+1)^{-1}}{(m+n+2)}$		
y_1	$\frac{1}{2}$	y_2y_5	$\frac{1}{54}$	$y_1y_2y_5 + y_2y_1y_5 + y_2y_5y_1$	$\frac{1}{108}$
$y_1y_2y_5$	$\frac{1}{594}$	$y_2y_1y_5$	$\frac{1}{528}$		
$y_2y_5y_1$	$\frac{1}{176}$				
y_0y_1	$\frac{1}{6}$	y_2y_3	$\frac{1}{28}$	$y_0y_1y_2y_3 + y_0y_2y_1y_3$ $+y_0y_2y_3y_1 + y_2y_3y_0y_1$ $+y_2y_0y_1y_3 + y_2y_0y_3y_1$	$\frac{1}{168}$
$y_0y_1y_2y_3$	$\frac{1}{2520}$	$y_0y_2y_1y_3$	$\frac{1}{2160}$		
$y_0y_2y_3y_1$	$\frac{1}{1080}$	$y_2y_3y_0y_1$	$\frac{1}{420}$		
$y_2y_0y_1y_3$	$\frac{1}{1680}$	$y_2y_0y_3y_1$	$\frac{1}{840}$		
y_ay_b	$\frac{(b+1)^{-1}}{(a+b+2)}$	y_cy_d	$\frac{(d+1)^{-1}}{(c+d+2)}$	$y_ay_by_cy_d + y_ay_cy_by_d$ $+y_ay_cy_dy_b + y_cy_dy_ay_b$ $+y_cy_ay_by_d + y_cy_ay_dy_b$	$\frac{(b+1)^{-1}(d+1)^{-1}}{(a+b+2)(c+d+2)}$

u	C_u^-	v	C_v^-	$u \sqcup\!\!\sqcup v$	$C_{u \sqcup\!\!\sqcup v}^-$
y_0	1	y_0	1	$2y_0^2 + y_0$	1
y_1	$\frac{1}{2}$	y_2	$\frac{1}{3}$	$y_1y_2 + y_2y_1 + y_3$	$\frac{1}{6}$
y_m	$\frac{1}{m+1}$	y_n	$\frac{1}{n+1}$	$y_my_n + y_ny_m + y_{n+m}$	$\frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)}$
y_1	$\frac{1}{2}$	y_2y_5	$\frac{1}{54}$	$y_1y_2y_5 + y_2y_1y_5 + y_2y_5y_1$ $+y_3y_5 + y_2y_6$	$\frac{1}{108}$
y_0y_1	$\frac{1}{6}$	y_2y_3	$\frac{1}{28}$	$y_0y_1y_2y_3 + y_0y_2y_1y_3$ $+y_0y_2y_3y_1 + y_2y_3y_0y_1$ $+y_2y_0y_1y_3 + y_2y_0y_3y_1 + y_0y_2y_4$ $+y_0y_3^2 + y_2y_3y_1 + y_2y_1y_3$ $+y_2y_0y_4 + y_2y_3y_1 + y_2y_4$	$\frac{1}{168}$
y_ay_b	$\frac{(b+1)^{-1}}{(a+b+2)}$	y_cy_d	$\frac{(d+1)^{-1}}{(c+d+2)}$	$y_ay_by_cy_d + y_ay_cy_by_d$ $+y_ay_cy_dy_b + y_cy_dy_ay_b$ $+y_cy_ay_by_d + y_cy_ay_dy_b$ $+y_ay_cy_{b+d} + y_ay_{b+c}y_d$ $+y_cy_ay_{b+d} + y_cy_{a+d}y_b$ $+y_{a+c}y_by_d + y_{a+c}y_dy_b + y_{a+c}y_{b+d}$	$\frac{(b+1)^{-1}(d+1)^{-1}}{(a+b+2)(c+d+2)}$

In the above tables, it can be clearly seen that $C_\bullet^-$ is linear on $\mathbb{P}_n$. For example, let $u = y_1$ and $v = y_2y_5$. Then $u \sqcup\!\sqcup v = y_1y_2y_5 + y_2y_1y_5 + y_2y_5y_1$. Hence, we get

$$C^-_{y_1y_2y_5} + C^-_{y_2y_1y_5} + C^-_{y_2y_5y_1} = \frac{1}{594} + \frac{1}{528} + \frac{1}{176} = \frac{1}{108} = C^-_{y_1}C^-_{y_2y_5} = C^-_{y_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup y_2y_5}.$$

Note that $y_1y_2y_5, y_2y_1y_5, y_2y_5y_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{11}$. But we have also $u \sqcup\!\!\sqcup v = y_1y_2y_5 + y_2y_1y_5 + y_2y_5y_1 + y_3y_5 + y_2y_6$. It is clearly seen that

$$C^-_{y_1y_2y_5} + C^-_{y_2y_1y_5} + C^-_{y_2y_5y_1} + C^-_{y_3y_5} + C^-_{y_2y_6} = \frac{1}{108} + \frac{13}{420} \neq \frac{1}{108} = C^-_{y_1}C^-_{y_2y_5}.$$

However, as $y_3y_5, y_2y_6 \in \mathbb{P}_{10}$, we can conclude that

$$C^-_{y_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup y_2y_5} = C^-_{y_1y_2y_5+y_2y_1y_5+y_2y_5y_1+y_3y_5+y_2y_6} = C^-_{y_1y_2y_5+y_2y_1y_5+y_2y_5y_1} = 1/108 = C^-_{y_1}C^-_{y_2y_5}.$$

4.2. Structure of polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices

We are constructing a new product on $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$ which is associated with polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices as an algebra. This construction will rest on the following very general lemma which gives a way to find a preimage of the given law.

Lemma 2. *Let k be a field, V a k-vector space, A a k-AAU and $\phi : V \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{A}$ be an onto (linear) mapping. We consider the k-AA[20] laws on V such that, for all*

[20] k- associative algebra (not necessarily with unit); k- AAU being for the category of k-associative algebra with unit.

$x, y \in V$

$$\phi(x \top y) = \phi(x)\phi(y). \tag{16}$$

$$\textit{If} \quad x \top y \in \ker(\phi) \quad \textit{then} \quad x \top y = 0. \tag{17}$$

Then the following clauses hold

1. *There is at least a solution $\top_0$ of (16) and (17).*

2. *Let G_ϕ be the group of (linear) automorphisms (subgroup of $GL(V)$) stabilizing ϕ on the right, i.e. $G_\phi := \{\alpha \in GL(V) | \phi \circ \alpha = \phi\}$. Then any other law $\top$ satisfying (16) and (17) is of the form $\top = \alpha \circ \top_0$ (one orbit under G_ϕ).*

3. *This statement holds with $G_\phi^{(1)} := \{\alpha \in GL(V) | \phi \circ \alpha = \phi; \alpha_{|_{\ker(\phi)}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\ker(\phi)}\}$.*

Proof –

1. Let s be a linear section of ϕ, *i.e.* $\phi \circ s = \mathrm{Id}_\mathrm{A}$. Then let $x \top y = s(\phi(x)\phi(y))$. It is straightforward $\top$ satisfies (16). Now, $x \top y = s(\phi(x)\phi(y)) \in \mathrm{Im}(s)$ if, moreover $x \top y \in \ker(\phi)$, as $(0) = \mathrm{Im}(s) \cap \ker(\phi)$, we must have $x \top y = 0$ and hence (17).

2. We have to prove "Every product $\top$ of the form $\top = \alpha \circ \top_0$ satisfies (16)-(17)" and conversely "every product $\top$ which satisfies (16)-(17) is of the form $\top = \alpha \circ \top_0$".

   Firstly, let us compute $\phi(x \top y) = \phi(\alpha(x \top_0 y)) = \phi(x \top_0 y) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$. This proves (16). On the other hand, $x \top y \in \ker(\phi)$ is equivalent to $\phi(x \top y) = 0$. Then $0 = \phi(x \top y) = \phi(\alpha(x \top_0 y)) = \phi(x \top_0 y)$. Hence, $x \top_0 y = 0$ and, because $\top_0$ satisfies (17), and then, $x \top y = \alpha(x \top_0 y) = 0$ which proves (17) for $\top$.

   Now, let us suppose that $\top$ satisfies (16) and (17) and first compute an idempotent (for $\top$) e such that $\phi(e) = 1_\mathrm{A}$. We start with any preimage e_0 of 1_A and form $e = e_0 \top e_0$. Then $\phi(e_0 \top e_0 - e_0 \top e_0 \top e_0) = \phi(e_0)\phi(e_0) - \phi(e_0)\phi(e_0)\phi(e_0) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Hence, $e_0 \top e_0 - e_0 \top e_0 \top e_0 = e_0 \top (e_0 - e_0 \top e_0) \in \ker(\phi)$ and then, by (17), we have $0 = e_0 \top (e_0 - e_0 \top e_0) = e_0 \top e_0 - e_0 \top e_0 \top e_0$.

   Now $e \top e = (e_0 \top e_0) \top (e_0 \top e_0) = e_0 \top (e_0 \top e_0 \top e_0) = e_0 \top (e_0 \top e_0) = e_0 \top e_0 = e$ and $\phi(e) = \phi(e_0 \top e_0) = 1_\mathrm{A} 1_\mathrm{A} = 1_\mathrm{A}$.

   Now, let $y \in \mathrm{A}$. It is easy to check that the values $x \top e$ are independant from the choice of x, preimage of y. Set $s(y) = x \top e$. For $y = \phi(x)$, we have

$$s(y) = s(\phi(x)) = x \top e. \tag{18}$$

The map s is a section of ϕ as $\phi(s(y)) = \phi(x \top e) = \phi(x)\phi(e) = \phi(x)$.

To end, it remains to prove that $x \top y = s(\phi(x)\phi(y))$ but

$$s(\phi(x)\phi(y)) = s(\phi(x \top y)) = x \top y \top e. \tag{19}$$

Now $x \top y \top e - x \top y$ has image, by ϕ, zero and then $x \top (y \top e - y) = 0$ which, in virtue of (19), ends the proof that s exists and is such that $x \top y = s(\phi(x)\phi(y))$.

Now, if s_1, s_2 are two sections of ϕ, there is $\alpha \in G_\phi^{(1)}$ such that $s_2 = \alpha s_1$. We can reprove it easily in our context. One has just to consider a basis of $\mathrm{Im}(s_1)$, take into account that $\ker(\phi) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(s_1) = \ker(\phi) \oplus \mathrm{Im}(s_2) = V$ and construct α by $\alpha_{|\mathrm{Im}(s_1)} = s_2, \phi_{|\mathrm{Im}(s_1)}$ and $\alpha_{|\ker(\phi)} = \mathrm{Id}_{\ker(\phi)}$. Let $\top_i$ be constructed from $s_i, i = 1, 2$. Then $x \top_2 y = s_2(\phi(x)\phi(y)) = \alpha s_1(\phi(x)\phi(y)) = \alpha(x \top_1 y)$.

3. Straightforward following the proof of the previous point.

□

Theorem 5. *1. There is at least a law $\top$ such that the morphism defined in (7) is onto and, such that, for any $P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$,*

$$\mathrm{Li}^-_{P \top Q} = \mathrm{Li}^-_P \mathrm{Li}^-_Q \,. \tag{20}$$

$$\textit{If} \quad P \top Q \in \ker(\mathrm{Li}^-_\bullet) \quad \textit{then} \quad P \top Q = 0. \tag{21}$$

Then, let $G = \{\alpha \in GL(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle) | \, \mathrm{Li}^-_\bullet \circ \alpha = \mathrm{Li}^-_\bullet\} \subset GL(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle)$.

2. Any other law satisfying (20), (21) is of the form $\alpha \circ \top$ (one orbit under G).

3. The associative commutative law $\top$ in (22) is non dualizable.

Proof – For $V = \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$ and $\phi = \mathrm{Li}^-_\bullet$, the first result is a consequence of Lemma 2. The last is a consequence of the caracterization of dualizability [6]. □

By Theorem 2, $\{\mathrm{Li}^-_w\}_{w \in Y_0^*}$ can be represented in $\{\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_s}\}_{s \geq 0} \cup \{\mathrm{Li}^-_{1_{Y_0^*}}\}$. Thus, since, for any $u, v \in Y_0^*$, $\mathrm{Li}^-_u \mathrm{Li}^-_v \in \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ then we get successively

$$\mathrm{Li}^-_u \mathrm{Li}^-_v \;=\; a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u, v) + \sum_{s=0}^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)-1} a_s(u, v) \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_s},$$

and then, one defines

$$u \top v \;=\; a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u, v) 1_{Y_0^*} + \sum_{s=0}^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)-1} a_s(u, v) y_s. \tag{22}$$

This defines a law of $\top$ which is associative and commutative on $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0\rangle$.

Example 7. *1. Since* $\mathrm{Li}^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} = \not\Vdash_{\mathcal{C}}$ *then, for* $y \in Y_0$, $y \top 1_{Y_0^*} = 1_{Y_0^*} \top y = y$.

2. One has[21],

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_m}(z)\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n}(z) &= \frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2}\frac{1}{(1-z)^{m+n}}\sum_{k=0}^{m+n-2} A^-_{m,n,k} z^k \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n-2} A_{m,n,k} \sum_{t=0}^{k+2} \binom{k+2}{t} \frac{(-1)^t}{(1-z)^{m+n+2-t}} \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{m+n+2} \sum_{j=m+n-k}^{m+n-2} A_{m,n,j} \binom{j+2}{m+n+2-t} (-1)^{m+n-k} \frac{1}{(1-z)^k}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 and since $(1-z)^{-1} = \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_0}(z) - 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ *then we obtain successively*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_m}(z)\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_n}(z) &= \sum_{k=2}^{m+n+2} \gamma_{m,n,k} \left(\frac{1}{1-z} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{S_1(k,j)}{k!}\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_{j-1}}(z) \right), \\
y_m \top y_n &= \sum_{k=2}^{m+n+2} \gamma_{m,n,k} \left(y_0 - 1_{Y_0^*} + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \frac{S_1(k,j)}{k!} y_{j-1} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{m,n,k} := \sum_{j=m+n-k}^{m+n-2} A_{m,n,j} \binom{j+2}{m+n+2-t} (-1)^{m+n-k}$. *For example,*

$$\begin{aligned}
y_5 \top y_4 &= -\tfrac{1}{60} y_2 + \tfrac{1}{63} y_4 + \tfrac{1}{1260} y_{10}, \\
y_5 \top y_5 &= -\tfrac{5}{66} y_1 + \tfrac{1}{12} y_3 - \tfrac{1}{126} y_5 + \tfrac{1}{2772} y_{11}, \\
y_6 \top y_7 &= -\tfrac{691}{5460} y_2 + \tfrac{5}{33} y_4 - \tfrac{1}{40} y_6 + \tfrac{1}{24024} y_{14}, \\
y_8 \top y_{10} &= \tfrac{43867}{798} y_1 - \tfrac{39787}{510} y_3 + \tfrac{77}{3} y_5 - \tfrac{11056}{4095} y_7 + \tfrac{5}{66} y_9 + \tfrac{1}{831402} y_{19}.
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. *1. For any* $P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Q} y_0$, *one has* $B^-_P B^-_Q = B^-_{P \top Q}$.

2. Let $u, v \in Y_0^*$. *Then* $\langle u \top v \mid 1_{Y_0^*} \rangle \neq 0$ *if and only if* $u = v = 1_{Y_0^*}$.

3. For any u, v *and* $w = y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in Y_0^*$, *one has* $y_0 u \top v = u \top y_0 v = y_0 \top (u \top v)$ *and* $w \top 1_{Y_0^*} = \sum_{i=0}^{s_1} \binom{s_1}{i} y_i \top y_{s_1+s_2-i} y_{s_3} \dots y_{s_r}$.

4. The map of (6) is onto and $\ker \mathrm{H}^-_\bullet = \ker \mathrm{Li}^-_\bullet = \mathbb{Q}\langle \{ w - w \top 1_{Y_0^*} | w \in Y_0^* \} \rangle$.

Proof –

[21] For any $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq k \leq m+n-2$, we denote $A_{m,n,k} = \sum_{t=0}^{k} A_{n,t} A_{m,k-t}$. Here, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_{m,n,-1} = A_{m,n,-2} = 0$.

1. Let $P, Q \in \mathbb{Q}\langle Y\rangle \oplus \mathbb{Q}y_0$ such that $\mathrm{Li}^-_P = a^P_{k+1}\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_k} + \ldots + a^P_0 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathrm{Li}^-_Q = a^Q_{l+1}\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_l} + \ldots + a^Q_0 1_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then $\mathrm{Li}^-_P \mathrm{Li}^-_Q = a^P_{k+1}a^Q_{l+1}\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_l}\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_k} + \ldots + a^P_0 a^Q_0 1_{\mathcal{C}} = a^P_{k+1}a^Q_{l+1}\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_l \top y_k} + \ldots + a^P_0 a^Q_0 1_{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $B^-_P = a^P_{k+1}B^-_{y_k}$ and $B^-_P = a^Q_{l+1}B^-_{y_l}$ then $B^-_{P\top Q} = a^P_{k+1}a^Q_{l+1}B^-_{y_k \top y_l}$. Finally, $B^-_{P\top Q} = a^P_{k+1}a^Q_{l+1}B^-_{y_k}B^-_{y_l} = B^-_P B^-_Q$.

2. For $a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u,v) \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $a_s(u,v) \in \mathbb{Q}$, the law $\top$ given in (22) yields

$$u \top v = a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u,v)1_{Y_0^*} + \sum_{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)-1 \geq s \geq 0} a_s(u,v) y_s,$$

   i.e. $\mathrm{Li}^-_u \mathrm{Li}^-_v = a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u,v) + \sum_{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)-1\geq s\geq 0} a_s(u,v)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_s}$.

   Suppose $u \neq 1_{Y_0^*}$, since $(1-z)^{|u|+(u)}\mathrm{Li}^-_u(z) = z^{|u|}A_u(z)$ then multiplying both two sides by $(1-z)^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} z^{|u|}A_u(z)(1-z)^{|v|+(v)}\mathrm{Li}^-_v(z) &= (1-z)^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)}a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u,v) \\ &+(1-z)^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)}\sum_{s=0}^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)-1} a_s(u,v)\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_s}(z). \end{aligned}$$

   Note that $(1-z)^{|u|+(u)+|v|+(v)}\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_s}(z)$ vanishes at $z=0$, for any $s = 1, \ldots, |u|+(u)+|v|+(v)-1$ and $(1-z)^{(v)+|v|}\mathrm{Li}^-_v(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[(1-z)^{-1}]$. For $z=0$, it is equivalent to $0 = a_{1_{Y_0^*}}(u,v)$ contradicting with the assumptions. Hence, $u = 1_{Y_0^*}$.

   Similarly, we also obtain $v = 1_{Y_0^*}$, then the expected result follows.

3. The formulas (8)-(9) lead to $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_0 u} = (\theta_0\iota_1)\mathrm{Li}^-_u = \lambda\mathrm{Li}^-_u = \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_0}\mathrm{Li}^-_u$. Hence, $\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_0 u\top v} = \mathrm{Li}^-_{y_0}\mathrm{Li}^-_{u\top v}$, *i.e.* $y_0 u \top v = y_0 \top (u \top v)$. The next result is a consequence of the definition of $\top$ and of Theorem 2.

4. It is immediate by Corollary 5.

□

4.3. Natural bases for harmonic sums and polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices

We are building a map allowing to represent $\{\mathrm{H}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}$ in terms of the basis $(N^k)_{k\geq 0}$ from the representation of the corresponding $\{\mathrm{Li}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}$ in the basis $\{(1-z)^{-k}\}_{k\geq 0}$.

Lemma 3. *Consider the difference equation*

$$f(x+1) - f(x) = P(x), \tag{23}$$

where P is a polynomial with coefficients in the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra A and $f : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow A$ is an unknown function. Then

1. *Let $\sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j \binom{x}{j}$ be the decomposition of P w.r.t. the basis $\left\{\binom{x}{k}\right\}_{k\geq 0}$. Then the unique solution without constant term of (23) is $f_0(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j \binom{x}{j+1}$.*
2. *All solutions of the equation (23) are polynomial functions and differ by a constant.*
3. *If $P \not\equiv 0$ with leading term $a_d x^d$, any solution of the difference equation has leading term $a_d x^{d+1}/(d+1)$.*

Lemma 4. *For any $n, N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, one has*

$$\binom{N}{n} = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{t=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+t} S_1(n,t) N^t \iff N^n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} j! S_2(n,j) \binom{N}{j}.$$

Moreover, for any $i \geq j \in \mathbb{N}_+$, one has

$$S_2(i,j) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{S_1(i,i)}, & \text{if} \quad i = j, \\ \dfrac{1}{S_1(i,i)S_1(j,j)} \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{i-j} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{i>t_1>\ldots>t_k>j} \dfrac{(-1)^{i+j+t_1+\ldots+t_k} S_1(i,t_1)\ldots S_1(t_k,j)}{S_1(t_1,t_1)\ldots S_1(t_k,t_k)} \\ \qquad - \dfrac{S_1(i,j)}{S_1(i,i)S_1(j,j)}, & \text{if} \quad i > j. \end{cases}$$

Proof – In this paper, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 \leq i < j$, it follows from the definitions that $S_1(i,j) = S_2(i,j) = 0$, and we can write the above formula in the matrix form

$$\left(\tbinom{N}{k}\right)_{k\geq 1}^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/1! & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & \not{1}/2! & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \left((-1)^{i+j} S_1(i,j)\right)_{i,j\geq 1} \left(N^k\right)_{k\geq 1}^{t}.$$

Thus, by matrix inversion and the Stirling transform [3], we get the first result :

$$\left(N^k\right)_{k\geq 1}^{t} = \left(j! S_2(i,j)\right)_{i,j\geq 1} \left(\tbinom{N}{k}\right)_{k\geq 1}^{t}.$$

The inverse matrix of $\left(S_1(i,j)\right)_{i,j\geq 1}$, is well-known and leads also to the last result. □

Theorem 6. *1. Let $X = (j!S_2(i,j))_{j\geq 1}^{i\geq 1}$. One has*

$$\left(\mathrm{H}^-_{y_i}(N)\right)_{i\geq 0}^{t} = M\left(N^j\right)_{j\geq 1}^{t} = MX\left(\binom{N}{k}\right)_{k\geq 1} = MX\left(\mathrm{H}^-_{y_0^k}(N)\right)_{k\geq 1}.$$

2. The families $\{\mathrm{H}^-_{1_{Y_0^*}}\}\cup\{\mathrm{H}^-_{y_s}\}_{s\geq 0}$ and $\{\mathrm{H}^-_{1_{Y_0^*}}\}\cup\{\mathrm{H}^-_{y_0^k}\}_{k\geq 0}$ (resp. $\{1_{\mathcal{C}}\}\cup\{\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_s}\}_{s\geq 0}$ and $\{1_{\mathcal{C}}\}\cup\{\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_0^k}\}_{k\geq 0}$) are bases of $\mathbb{Q}[\{\mathrm{H}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}]$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}[\{\mathrm{Li}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}]$). Moreover, $\langle \mathrm{H}^-_w \mid \mathrm{H}^-_{1_{Y_0^*}}\rangle \neq 0$ if and only if $w = 1_{Y_0^*}$.

3. The automorphism χ is represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ M^{-1}U & M^{-1}T \end{pmatrix}^t = T^t$, on the basis $\{X^k\}_{k\geq 0}$ of $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, and, for $w\in Y_0^*$, $\mathrm{Li}^-_w(z) = (\chi\circ\mathrm{H}^-_w)((1-z)^{-1})$. Moreover, by Theorems 1 and 2, one has

$$\mathrm{H}^-_w(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|} m_k N^k \in \mathbb{Q}[N] \iff \mathrm{Li}^-_w(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|} \frac{n_k}{(1-z)^k} \in \mathbb{Z}[(1-z)^{-1}],$$

where, for any $0\leq k\leq (w)+|w|$, $n_k = \sum_{j=k}^{(w)+|w|} m_j t_{j,k}$.

Proof –

1. Since, for any $n, N\in\mathbb{N}_+$, $\binom{N}{n} = \mathrm{H}^-_{y_0^n}(N)$ then, by the definition of M as (15) and by Lemma 4, the expression of the matrix X follows.

2. For any $w\in Y_0^+$, there is a rational sequence $\{\alpha_{w,k}\}_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|-1}$ such that

$$\mathrm{H}^-_w = \sum_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|-1} \alpha_{w,k}\mathrm{H}^-_{y_k} \iff \mathrm{Li}^-_w = \sum_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|-1} \alpha_{w,k}\,\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_k}.$$

   Indeed, by

$$\frac{\mathrm{Li}^-_w(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{N\geq 0}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|-1}\alpha_{w,k}\mathrm{H}^-_{y_k}(N)\right)z^N = \sum_{k=0}^{(w)+|w|-1}\alpha_{w,k}\left(\sum_{N\geq 0}\mathrm{H}^-_{y_k}(N)\right)z^N$$

   then Theorems 1, 2 and the previous point implies that these families are bases.

3. Let us define, for any $w\in Y_0^*$, $h^-_w := \left(\mathrm{H}^-_{y_k w}\right)_{k\geq 0}^t$ and $l^-_w := \left(\mathrm{Li}^-_{y_k w}\right)_{k\geq 0}^t$. Let $U := \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in Mat_\infty(\mathbb{Q})$. With the matrices M, T given respectively in (14),

(15) and by Theorems 1, 2, there exists two matrices $\Xi_w, \Omega_w \in Mat_\infty(\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h^-_w = \quad & \Xi_w \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \left(N^j\right)^t_{j\geq 1} \end{pmatrix} && = \Xi_w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ h^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ l^-_w = \quad & \Omega_w \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \left((1-z)^{-j}\right)^t_{j\geq 1} \end{pmatrix} && = \Omega_w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ l^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

Then one has successively

$$\Xi_w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \Omega_w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_w = \Omega_w \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ h^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \left(N^j\right)^t_{j\geq 1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ l^-_{1_{Y_0^*}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ U & T^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^j}\right)^t_{j\geq 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The expected result follows.

□

5. Conclusion

In this work, we have etablished combinatorial and asymptotic aspects concerning harmonic sums and polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices, by extending Faulhaber's formula, the Bernoulli and Eulerian polynomials.

Via an Abel like theorem about their noncommutative generating series, we have also globally renormalized the corresponding polyzetas and made precise their algebraic structures.

In the forthcoming works, we will give an integral representation for polylogarithms at non-positive multi-indices, $\{\mathrm{Li}^-_w\}_{w\in Y_0^*}$, for regularization of the corresponding polyzetas.

6. Appendix A : Cones and extensions

Let V be a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space. We remind [4] the reader that a *blunt* convex cone in V is a convex cone which does not contain zero. If $C \neq \emptyset$ is such a cone, then the vector space generated by C is

$$\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(C) = C - C = \{x - y\}_{x,y\in C}. \tag{24}$$

Let $S \subset X^*$ a non empty linearly free set. The blunt convex cone generated by S is the set of sums $C_S = \{\sum_{w\in S} \alpha_w w\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}_+^{(S)}\setminus\{0\}}$. It amounts to the same to

rephrase it with finite families and, in view of (24), the linear span of S is exactly $C_S - C_S$.

Now, C being still a non-empty convex cone, we say that a function $\varphi : C \to W$ (W be a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space) is linear on C if $\phi(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha\phi(x) + \beta\phi(y)$ for $x, y \in C, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta > 0$. This is an easy exercise to check that such a φ is the restriction of a unique linear map $\hat{\varphi}$ $(C - C) \to W$.

References

[1] Akiyama S., Egami S., Tanigawa Y., *Analytic continuation of multiple zeta-functions and their values at non-positive integers,* Acta Arith. 98, no. 2, 107-116, 2001.

[2] Bender C. M., Brody D. C., Meister B. K., *Quantum field theory of partitions,* J. Math. Phys. **40**, 3239–3245, 1999.

[3] Bernstein M., Sloane N. J. A., *Some canonical sequences of integers,* Linear Algebra and its Applications. 226/228: 57–72, 1995.

[4] Dennis S. Bernstein, *Matrix Mathematics - Theory, Facts, and Formulas*, Princeton University Press; Édition : 2nd Revised edition, 2009.

[5] Boutet de Monvel L., *Remarques sur les séries logarithmiques divergentes,* lecture at the workshop Polylogarithmes et conjecture de Deligne-Ihara", C.I.R.M. (Luminy), April 2000.

[6] Bui V. C., Duchamp G. H. E., Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Tollu C., Ngo Q. H., *(Pure) transcendence bases in ϕ-deformed shuffle bialgebras*, Submitted to Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, 2015, arXiv:1507.01089 [cs.SC].

[7] Connes A., Kreimer D., *Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry,* Comm. Math. Phys., 199 , 203–242, 1998.

[8] Costermans C., Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Some Results à l'Abel Obtained by Use of Techniques à la Hopf*, "Workshop on Global Integrability of Field Theories and Applications", Daresbury (UK), 1-3, November 2006.

[9] Costermans C., Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Noncommutative algebra, multiple harmonic sums and applications in discrete probability*, J. of Sym. Comp., 801-817, 2009.

[10] Duchamp G. H. E., Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Solomon A. I., Goodenough S., *An interface between physics and number theory,* in Journal of Physics, 284(1), 012-023, 2011.

[11] Duchamp G. H. E. , Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Ngo Quoc Hoan, *Harmonic sums and polylogarithms at negative multiple-indices*, Poster presentations, ISSAC2015, Bath, UK, 2015, http://www.issac-conference.org/2015/posters.html

[12] Duchamp G. H. E. , Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Penson K. A., Ngô Q. H., Simonnet P., *Mathematical renormalization in quantum electrodynamics via noncommutative generating series*, Accepted by Springer Proceedings in Mathematics, 2015 https://hal.inria.fr/LPTMC/hal-00927641v1

[13] Dyson F. J., *The radiation theories of Tomonaga, Schwinger and Feynman*, Physical Rev, vol 75, 486-502, 1949.

[14] Enjalbert J.Y., Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Analytic and combinatorial aspects of Hurwitz polyzêtas*, Journal Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, Vol. 19, 599-644, 2007.

[15] Enjalbert J.Y., Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Propriétés combinatoires et prolongement analytique effectif de polyzêtas de Hurwitz et de leurs homologues*, Journal Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux, 23 no. 2, 353-386, 2011.

[16] Euler L., *Variæ observationes circa series infinitas*, Commentarii Academiæ-Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanæ, vol. 9 , 187-188, 1744.

[17] Euler L., *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus*, Novi. Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropolitanae, 20, 140-186, 1775.

[18] Faulhaber J., *Darinnen die miraculosische Inventiones zu den höchsten Cossen weiters continuirt und profitiert werden*, Academia Algebrae , 1631.

[19] Feynman R. P., Hibbs A. R., *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals,* McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.

[20] Fliess M., *Fonctionnelles causales non linéaires et indéterminées non commutatives,* Bull. SMF **109**, 3-40, 1981.

[21] Foata D., Marcel-P. Schützenberger, *Théorie Géométrique des Polynômes Eulériens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1970), 138(45 pp).

[22] Furusho H., Komori Y., Matsumoto K., Tsumura H., *Desingularization of multiple zeta-functions of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch type*, 2014.

[23] Goncharov A. B., *Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives.* ArXiv:math. AG/0103059 v4, 497–516, 2001.

[24] Guo L., Zhang B., *Renormalization of multiple zeta values*, Journal of Algebra 319, 3770-809, 2008.

[25] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Summations of Polylogarithms via Evaluation Transform*, Math. & Computers in Simulations, 1336, 707-728, 1996.

[26] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Fonctions génératrices polylogarithmiques d'ordre n et de paramètre t*. Discrete Math., 180, 221-242, 1998.

[27] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Jacob G., Oussous N. E., Petitot M., *Aspects combinatoires des polylogarithmes et des sommes d'Euler-Zagier*, Journal électronique du Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, B43e, 1998.

[28] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Jacob G., Oussous N.E., M. Petitot, *De l'algèbre des ζ de Riemann multivariées à l'algèbre des ζ de Hurwitz multivariées*, journal électronique du Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, 44, 2001.

[29] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Jacob G., *Symbolic Integration of meromorphic differential equation via Dirichlet function*. Discrete Math., 210, 87-116, 2000.

[30] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., Petitot M., *Lyndon words, polylogarithmic functions and the Riemann ζ function*, Discrete Math., 217, 273-292, 2000.

[31] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Finite polyzêtas, Poly-Bernoulli numbers, identities of polyzêtas and noncommutative rational power series*, in the proceedings of 4-th International Conference on Words, 232-250, Turku, Finland, 2003.

[32] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Algebraic combinatoric aspects of asymptotic analysis of nonlinear dynamical system with singular inputs*, Acta Academiae Aboensis, Ser. B **67**(2), 117-126 , 2007.

[33] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *On a conjecture by Pierre Cartier about a group of associators*, Acta Math. Vietnamica , 38, Issue 3, 339-398, 2013.

[34] Hoang Ngoc Minh V., *Structure of polyzetas and Lyndon words*, Vietnamese Math. J. , 41, Issue 4, 409-450, 2013.

[35] Ihara, Kentaro; Kaneko, Masanobu; Zagier D., *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compos. Math. , 142 , no. 2, 307 - 338, 2006.

[36] Knuth D.E., *Johann Faulhaber and Sums of Powers*, Mathematics of Computation., 61 (203): 277–294,1993 , arXiv:math.CA/9207222.

[37] Lê T. Q. T., Murakami J., *Kontsevich's integral for Kauffman polynomial*, Nagoya Math., 39-65, 1996.

[38] Manchon D., Paycha S., *Nested sums of symbols and renormalised multiple zeta functions*, Int. Math. Res. Notices (24): 4628-4697, 2010.

[39] Matsumoto K., *On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions,* in Number Theory for the Millennium II, Proc. Millennial Conf. on Number Theory, M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters, 417-440, 2002.

[40] Nielsen N., *Recherches sur le carré de la dérivée logarithmique de la fonction gamma et sur quelques fonctions analogues*, Annali di Matematica, vol 9, 190-210, 1904.

[41] Nielsen N., *Note sur quelques séries de puissance trouvées dans la théorie de la fonction gamma*, Annali di Matematica, vol 9, 211-218, 1904.

[42] Nielsen N., *Recherches sur des généralisations d'une fonction de Legendre et d'Abel*, Annali di Matematica, vol 9, 219-235, 1904.

[43] Reutenauer C., *Free Lie Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Monographs (1993).

[44] Riemann B., *Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*, Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, November 1859.

[45] Zhao J., *Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 128 (5): 1275–1283, 1999.